To: Hull, George[Hull.George@epa.gov]; Mylott, Richard[Mylott.Richard@epa.gov]

Cc: Abrams, Dan[Abrams.Dan@epa.gov]; StClair, Christie[StClair.Christie@epa.gov]; EOC Public

Information[EOC\_Public\_Information@epa.gov]; Press[Press@epa.gov]; MacIntyre, Mark[Macintyre.Mark@epa.gov]; Grantham, Nancy[Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov]

From: Robert Daguillard

**Sent:** Mon 8/17/2015 4:53:08 PM

Subject: RE: NEW FOR RICH - EOC/JIC Action; The Guardian; Is this a response we can use re:

questions about the abandoned mines in the US or in Utah or elsewhere?

### **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

From: Hull, George

**Sent:** Monday, August 17, 2015 12:51 PM **To:** Mylott, Richard; Daguillard, Robert

Cc: Abrams, Dan; StClair, Christie; EOC Public Information; Press; MacIntyre, Mark; Grantham,

Nancy

Subject: RE: NEW FOR RICH - EOC/JIC Action; The Guardian; Is this a response we can use

re: questions about the abandoned mines in the US or in Utah or elsewhere?

## **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

From: Mylott, Richard

Sent: Monday, August 17, 2015 10:48 AM

To: Daguillard, Robert

Cc: Abrams, Dan; StClair, Christie; Hull, George; EOC Public Information; Press; MacIntyre,

Mark: Grantham, Nancy

Subject: Re: NEW FOR RICH - EOC/JIC Action; The Guardian; Is this a response we can use

re: questions about the abandoned mines in the US or in Utah or elsewhere?

## **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 17, 2015, at 8:05 AM, "Daguillard, Robert" < Daguillard. Robert@epa.gov> wrote:

The reporter is still prompting.

From: Abrams, Dan

Sent: Monday, August 17, 2015 10:05 AM

To: StClair, Christie

Cc: Daguillard, Robert; Mylott, Richard; Hull, George; EOC Public Information; Press;

MacIntyre, Mark; Grantham, Nancy

Subject: Re: NEW FOR RICH - EOC/JIC Action; The Guardian; Is this a response we can

use re: questions about the abandoned mines in the US or in Utah or elsewhere?

### **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 17, 2015, at 8:59 AM, StClair, Christie < StClair. Christie@epa.gov > wrote:

## **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

#### Christie St. Clair

Office of Public Affairs

**Environmental Protection Agency** 

o: 202-564-2880

m: 202-768-5780

On Aug 17, 2015, at 5:53 AM, "Daguillard, Robert" < <u>Daguillard.Robert@epa.gov</u>> wrote:

## **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

"On the general state of tailings dams and mines. How many such storage facilities are there across the country? How does the EPA monitor the maintenance and condition of mines and dams that are holding contaminated water? Are abandoned mines, like Gold King, monitored?

It has been reported that the EPA has been trying to designate the area as a superfund area for some time. When was the idea first floated? Why was it unsuccessful? Does the EPA believe the spill would have occurred regardless of the lack of superfund designation? Would that have changed the way the clean up was undertaken (ie would there have been more money available and therefore less likelihood of a failure?)?"

# Deliberative Process/Ex. 5

From: Daguillard, Robert

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 7:39 PM

**To:** StClair, Christie **Cc:** Hull, George

**Subject:** Re: NEW FOR RICH - EOC/JIC Action; The Guardian; Is this a response we can use re: questions about the abandoned mines in the US or in

Utah or elsewhere?

## **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 14, 2015, at 5:07 PM, StClair, Christie < StClair. Christie@epa.gov > wrote:

## **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

Christie St. Clair

Office of Public Affairs

**Environmental Protection Agency** 

Washington, DC

o: 202-564-2880

m: 202-768-5780

From: Hull, George

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 5:01 PM

**To:** StClair, Christie; Daguillard, Robert; Press; EOC Public Information **Subject:** RE: NEW FOR RICH - EOC/JIC Action; The Guardian; Is this a response we can use re: questions about the abandoned mines in the US or

in Utah or elsewhere?

# **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

From: Christie StClair [mailto:StClair.Christie@epamail.epa.gov]

**Sent:** Friday, August 14, 2015 4:57 PM

To: Daguillard, Robert; Press

Subject: NEW FOR RICH - EOC/JIC Action; The Guardian; Is this a

response we can use re: questions about the abandoned mines in the US or

in Utah or elsewhere?

#### **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

Christie St. Clair

Office of Public Affairs

**Environmental Protection Agency** 

Washington, DC

o: 202-564-2880

m: 202-768-5780

From: Daguillard, Robert

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 10:33 AM

To: StClair, Christie; Press

Subject: RE: EOC/JIC Action; The Guardian; Is this a response we can use

re: questions about the abandoned mines in the US or in Utah or

elsewhere?

#### **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

"It has been reported that the EPA has been trying to designate the area as a superfund area for some time. When was the idea first floated? Why was it unsuccessful? Does the EPA believe the spill would have occurred regardless of the lack of superfund designation? Would that have changed the way the clean up was undertaken (ie would there have been more money available and therefore less likelihood of a failure?)?"

From: StClair, Christie

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 10:25 AM

To: Daguillard, Robert; Press

Subject: Re: EOC/JIC Action; The Guardian; Is this a response we can use

re: questions about the abandoned mines in the US or in Utah or

elsewhere?

So do I need to do something here?

#### Christie St. Clair

Office of Public Affairs

**Environmental Protection Agency** 

o: 202-564-2880

m: 202-768-5780

On Aug 14, 2015, at 7:27 AM, "Robert Daguillard" <<u>Daguillard.Robert@epamail.epa.gov</u>> wrote:

# **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

## **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

## **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

Thanks all, R.

From: McClain-Vanderpool, Lisa

Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2015 5:57 PM

**To:** Daguillard, Robert **Cc:** Lee, Monica

Subject: Is this a response we can use re: questions about the

abandoned mines in the US or in Utah or elsewhere?

## **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

Lisa McClain-Vanderpool

Public Affairs Specialist/Media Officer

Office of Communications and Public Involvement

US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8

1595 Wynkoop Street

Denver, Colorado 80202

Office 303.312.6077

Cell 303,501,4027

From: Daguillard, Robert

Sent: Tuesday, August 11, 2015 5:48 AM

To: Cohen, Nancy; Smith, Paula; Faulk, Libby; McClain-Vanderpool,

Lisa; Mylott, Richard

Subject: RE: OSWER, R8 Action; Guardian; DDL COB; Aminas River

Spill

Thanks again, Nancy.

From: Cohen, Nancy

**Sent:** Monday, August 10, 2015 6:24 PM

To: Daguillard, Robert; Smith, Paula; Faulk, Libby; McClain-

Vanderpool, Lisa; Mylott, Richard

**Subject:** RE: OSWER, R8 Action; Guardian; DDL COB; Aminas River Spill

## **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

Thanks, nancy

Nancy Cohen

U.S. EPA OSWER

202.566.0171

From: Daguillard, Robert

Sent: Monday, August 10, 2015 11:31 AM

To: Smith, Paula; Faulk, Libby; McClain-Vanderpool, Lisa; Mylott,

Richard; Cohen, Nancy

Subject: OSWER, R8 Action; Guardian; DDL COB; Aminas River Spill

## **Deliberative Process/Ex. 5**

If we could get a response by COB Today, the reporter could run the story in tomorrow's paper. Please advise. Thanks, R.

From: Karl Mathiesen

**Sent:** Monday, August 10, 2015 7:45 AM

To: Daguillard, Robert

Subject: Guardian media enquiry

Hi Robert,

I'm a journalist with the Guardian writing a piece on the release from the Gold King Mine in Colorado.

I have a few questions on the background of the situation. Please do your best to answer them all.

On the general state of tailings dams and mines. How many such storage facilities are there across the country? How does the EPA monitor the maintenance and condition of mines and dams that are holding contaminated water? Are abandoned mines, like Gold King, monitored?

It has been reported that the EPA has been trying to designate the area as a superfund area for some time. When was the idea first floated? Why was it unsuccessful? Does the EPA believe the spill would have occurred regardless of the lack of superfund designation? Would that have changed the way the clean up was undertaken (ie would there have been more money available and therefore less likelihood of a failure?)?

Best,

Karl

--

Karl Mathiesen

London